UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA (ORLANDO DIVISION)

FELIX BENITEZ,)
TRENTON CAMPBELL,)
CARL CHANTIGIAN,)
GEOFFREY CORAH,)
BRYAN DAVIS,)
JEFFREY DAY,)
CURTIS ELSIS,)
RICHARD GLOVER,)
DAVID HARRIS,)
HARVEY JONES, JR.,) No
MICHAEL JORDAN,)
RICHARD LAMM,) Demand for a Jury Trial
WILLIAM LEMIEUX,)
WALTER LEWIS,)
CREED MCCLELLAND,)
JOSEPH MCCLUAN,)
JUANITA MCDONALD,)
AARON PIELIN,)
DEREK SCHAUMANN,)
MICHAEL STALLINGS,)
SCOTT SUEHLE,)
JOSEPH TARDUNGO,)
JEFF WHITE,)
DANNY WILSON, individually and)
on behalf of themselves and all others)
similarly situated,)
DI : 440)
Plaintiffs,	
V.)
CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA,))
Defendant.)

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, file this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") committed by the Defendant, City of Orlando.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs are current or former employees of Defendant, the City of Orlando, Florida ("Defendant" or "City"), who bring this action pursuant to the FLSA, on behalf of themselves (and other individuals who opt into this action) because of Defendant's unlawful deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights to overtime compensation.
- 2. Defendant is a municipality organized under the laws of the State of Florida, with its principal place of business located at 400 South Orange Avenue, Orlando, Florida 32801. Defendant is a "public agency" and an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(x) and 203(d).
- 3. Defendant operates the Orlando Fire Department ("OFD"), which is the City department responsible for providing fire suppression and first response emergency medical services in the City of Orlando.
- 4. At all times material herein, Defendant employed Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, in the position of "shift District Chief" (hereinafter "District Chief"). The exact dates of Plaintiffs', and similarly situated employees',

employment is in the custody and control of the Defendant, and Defendant has knowledge of the dates on which each of the Plaintiffs, and similarly situated employees, has been a District Chief during the relevant period of this dispute.

- 5. Plaintiffs bring this action for a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other relief available under the FLSA.
- 6. The individuals who are identified in the caption of the Complaint have given their written consent to be Party-Plaintiffs in this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Their written consents are attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. At all times material herein, Plaintiffs have been "employees" within meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) in that Plaintiffs' claims arise under the laws of the United States and Plaintiffs seek redress for violations of federal laws.
- 9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as Defendant is located within the Middle District of Florida and a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this action occurred within the Middle District of Florida.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

Background

- 10. Plaintiffs, and all others similarly situated, work or have worked for Defendant in the position of District Chief.
- 11. The District Chiefs are represented by the Orlando Professional Fire Fighters, Local 1365, International Association of Firefighters ("Union" or "Local 1365").
- 12. The City and Local 1365 are parties to a collective bargaining agreement, with effective dates of October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2025 ("CBA").
- 13. The CBA covers "all full-time employees of the City of Orlando Fire Department in the classification of shift District Chief and excluding Fire Chief, Deputy Chiefs, Assistant Chiefs, District Chief EMS, District Chief Special Investigation Services Bureau, and all other City employees."
- 14. Pursuant to the CBA, District Chiefs are paid a yearly amount depending on their grade level.
- 15. However, the CBA states that District Chiefs' bi-weekly pay is calculated based on an hourly rate that is determined by "dividing the applicable salary by 2,080 for employees assigned to a forty (40) hour schedule and by 2,496 for those employees assigned to a forty-eight (48) hour schedule."

- 16. As such, upon information and belief, District Chiefs are paid on an hourly basis for the number of hours they actually work per week rather than on a salary basis.
- 17. The CBA establishes the work schedule for combat and non-combat District Chiefs. For combat members, the workday shall be "twenty-four (24) hours starting at a time between 6:00 a.m. 8:00 a.m. The workweek shall be scheduled with twenty-four (24) continuous hours on duty followed by forty-eight (48) continuous hours off duty with a Kelly Day (twenty-four (24) hours off duty) scheduled every eighth (8th) shift. Combat members shall be entitled to two (2) additional Kelly Days to be picked annually ... thereby effectively reducing the workweek to forty-eight (48) hours per week for payroll purposes."
- 18. For non-combat District Chiefs, the hours of work are typically "forty (40) hours, Monday through Friday, with a one (1) hour unpaid daily lunch period. Length of shift and number of days may vary with assignment."
- 19. In addition to the District Chiefs' regularly scheduled hours, both combat and non-combat District Chiefs regularly work additional unscheduled combat shifts, which results in District Chiefs working more than 40 hours in a week, or in excess of 53 hours per week.
- 20. The District Chief's CBA does not reference overtime because the City unlawfully classifies District Chiefs as exempt employees under the FLSA.

21. The exact hours worked by Plaintiffs and the exact workweeks in which Plaintiffs worked over 40 hours in a week or over 53 hours in a week can easily be determined by reviewing Defendant's timekeeping and payroll records, which are in the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.

Plaintiffs' Primary Duty is that of a First Responder

- 22. Within the last three years, and continuing to date, while working as a District Chief on combat shift, Plaintiffs' primary job duties have been and remain, to respond to and protect and serve the public by engaging in fire suppression, emergency response, and related non-exempt activities.
- 23. District Chiefs are required to, and have little to no discretion regarding whether to, respond to nearly all emergency calls. Specifically, District Chiefs are automatically dispatched to all fire calls, as well as any event that requires a multi-unit response. In total, District Chiefs are automatically dispatched to approximately 85% of all calls.
- 24. When these calls come in, they take precedence over all other duties or obligations the District Chiefs may have at the time, including any administrative or managerial responsibilities. The District Chiefs cannot make themselves unavailable for dispatch. If a call comes in, District Chiefs must respond.

- 25. While on the scene of fire calls, Plaintiffs, and all others similarly situated, regularly work alongside the crew, engage in the control, suppression and extinguishing of fires, and the rescue of fire and accident victims.
- 26. The Department has Standard Operating Procedures ("SOPs") for fire suppression personnel, including District Chiefs, that set forth the duties and responsibilities of District Chiefs when responding to various incidents. These SOPs support that the District Chiefs' primary duty is that of a first responder.
- 27. For example, the SOPs state that the second arriving District Chief at all incidents will be assigned as the Incident Safety Officer by Command. In this role, District Chiefs are responsible for determining whether there are any safety issues at the fire scene (*i.e.*, gas levels, the structural integrity of the fire scene, etc.)
- 28. Additionally, the Command Procedures state that where second alarm units are being committed, the Assistant Chief is to assume command from the District Chief, and the District Chief is normally utilized in the following manner:
 - a. Where an offensive attack is being mounted in a single-story structure, the District Chief will become "Interior Division."
 - b. In a high-rise fire, the District Chief will become the "Attack Group" or "Fire Floor Division."

- c. In a defensive operation, the District Chief will be used to the best advantage by Command.
- 29. When District Chiefs act as the Incident Commanders, they are typically positioned in or near the fireground, not in their vehicle away from the incident.
- 30. District Chiefs are also required to wear the same protective gear as other frontline fire fighters, even when operating as Incident Commander. The Safety Procedures SOP specifically states that "all personnel (including Command personnel) crossing the fireground perimeter shall wear full protective gear to include: boots, bunker coat, Nomex hood, helmet, gloves and SCBA."
- 31. District Chiefs are required to participate in and complete all training and physical fitness programs as frontline firefighters, such as pulling hose, repelling, and conducting searches.
- 32. District Chiefs are also required to carry their own automated external defibrillator ("AED") and other medical and fire equipment in their vehicles. If a District Chief is the first to arrive on the scene of an emergency or fire call, they have a duty to act and administer care.

<u>Plaintiffs' Limited Administrative and Managerial Role</u>

33. District Chiefs do not have the authority to hire, fire, or transfer employees.

- 34. District Chiefs do not control the type of training new employees receive.
- 35. District Chiefs do not provide performance reviews or advancement recommendations.
 - 36. District Chiefs have no independent authority to issue discipline.
 - 37. District Chiefs do not control budgeting, promotions, or rates of pay.

Defendant's Failure to Pay Overtime

- 38. Defendant misclassifies Plaintiffs as "exempt" employees under 29 C.F.R. § 541.3 and unlawfully fails to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation at one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week, or in excess of 53 hours in a workweek.
- 39. Instead, Defendant either compensates Plaintiffs for only their regularly scheduled hours or pays District Chiefs straight time hours for additional hours worked, including those in excess of 40 hours per week or in excess of 53 hours per week.
- 40. Defendant, at all times material herein, has intentionally failed and continues to fail to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay when it suffers or permits certain Plaintiffs to work in excess of 40 hours per week, or in excess of 53 hours in a week.

41. Defendant's actions in refusing to provide District Chiefs the rights and protections provided under the FLSA are willful in that the Defendant knew or should have known that uncompensated overtime work was being performed by Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated, due to Defendant's established policies and procedures, work schedule, and observation of Plaintiffs.

COUNT I Violations of Section 207(a) of the FLSA

- 42. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 41, as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiffs are bringing these claims on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated in which overtime payments under the FLSA are assessed under a 40-hour workweek standard.
- 44. During the times that Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated, have worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, Defendant has failed, and continues to fail, to provide Plaintiffs the rights and protections provided under the FLSA, including overtime pay at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of the hourly standards set forth under 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).
- 45. By failing to pay the Plaintiffs, and similarly situated individuals overtime pay as required under the law, Defendant has violated and is continuing to violate the provisions of the FLSA in a manner that is unreasonable, willful, and

in bad faith. As a result, at all times material herein, Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated individuals, have been unlawfully deprived of overtime compensation and other relief for the maximum, three-year period allowed under the law.

- 46. As a result of Defendant's willful, unreasonable, and bad faith violations of the FLSA, there have become due and owing to Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, an amount that has not yet been precisely determined. The employment and work records for Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, (including time and attendance records) are in the exclusive possession, custody and control of Defendant, and Plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amount owed to them. Defendant is under a duty imposed under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 211(c), and various other statutory and regulatory provisions, to maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records with respect to Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, from which the amount of Defendant's liability can be ascertained.
- 47. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, are entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to their backpay damages for Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation.
- 48. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II Violations of Section 207(k) of the FLSA

- 49. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 41, as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Plaintiffs are bringing these claims on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated in which overtime payments under the FLSA are assessed under the FLSA's Section 7(k) exemption.
- 51. Under the U.S. Department of Labor's regulations applicable to fire fighters covered under 29 U.S.C. § 207(k), (29 C.F.R. § 553.230), an employer must pay overtime compensation to such employees at a rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours of work in excess of 53 hours in a 7-day work period or in excess of 212 hours in a maximum 28-day work period (or in excess of a proportionate number of hours for work periods between 7 and 28 days).
- 52. Here, upon information and belief, the Defendant has adopted a 7-day work period for other frontline fire fighters. Thus, Section 7(k) of the FLSA requires Defendant to pay one and one-half times their regular rate of pay to all employees who work hours in excess of 53 hours in a 7-day period.
- 53. When Plaintiffs and others similarly situated have worked in excess of 53 hours in a 7-day period, Defendant has failed to provide them with the rights and protections provided under the FLSA, including overtime pay at the rate of

one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of the hourly standards set forth under 29 U.S.C. § 207(k) and 29 C.F.R. § 553.230.

- 54. By failing to pay the Plaintiffs and others similarly situated the overtime pay required under the law, Defendant has violated and is continuing to violate the provisions of the FLSA in a manner that is unreasonable, willful, and in bad faith. As a result, at all times material herein, Plaintiffs and those similarly situated have been unlawfully deprived of overtime compensation and other relief for the maximum, three-year period allowed under the law.
- 55. As a result of Defendant's willful, unreasonable, and bad faith violations of the FLSA, there have become due and owing to Plaintiffs and others similarly situated an amount that has not yet been precisely determined. The employment and work records for Plaintiffs (including time and attendance records) are in the exclusive possession, custody, and control of Defendant and Plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amount owing to them. Defendant is under a duty imposed under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 211(c), and other various statutory and regulatory provision, to maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records with respect to Plaintiffs and other employees similarly situated from which the amount of Defendant's liability can be ascertained.

- 56. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiffs and others similarly situated are entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to their backpay damages for Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation.
- 57. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated are entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable law, all Plaintiffs hereby demand that their claims be tried before a jury.

REQUESTS FOR RELIEF

Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, request the following relief from this Court:

- (a) Enter judgment declaring that Defendant has willfully and wrongfully violated its statutory obligations under federal law and deprived each of the Plaintiffs of their rights;
- (b) Enter judgement declaring Plaintiffs are not exempt employees under the FLSA;
- (c) Order a complete and accurate accounting of all the unpaid compensation to which the Plaintiffs are entitled;
- (d) Award Plaintiffs compensatory relief in the form of unpaid compensation and liquidated damages to their unpaid compensation;

- (e) Award Plaintiffs interest on their unpaid compensation;
- (f) Award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees to be paid by the Defendant, and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- (g) Award such other relief to which Plaintiffs are entitled and/or which this Court deems necessary and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Heidi B. Parker
Heidi B. Parker
FL Bar No. 1008110
Richard Siwica
FL Bar No. 377341
EGAN, LEV & SIWICA, P.A.
231 East Colonial Drive
Post Office Box 2231
Orlando, FL 32802-2231
rsiwica@eganlev.com
hparker@eganlev.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Lauren P. McDermott
(Motion for Special Admission to Follow)
Sammy Y. Sugiura
(Motion for Special Admission to Follow)
MOONEY, GREEN, SAINDON, MURPHY
& WELCH, P.C.
1920 L Street, NW, STE 400
Washington, DC 20036
Imcdermott@mooneygreen.com
ssugiura@mooneygreen.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) Case 6:23-cv-01553 Dequiper Covering 12/23 Page 1 of 2 PageID 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil do	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	3	
	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe	,	NOTE: IN LAND C	e of First Listed Defendant	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	 II. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	<u> 6 6 </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box On	nly)		Click here for: Nature of S	uit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT	ТО	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3	Confinement Remanded from		erred from 6 Multidistri	
Proceeding Sta		Appellate Court	(specif	* *	Litigation - Direct File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON		filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	ututes unless diversity):	
	Brief description of ca	use:			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RECORD	_	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

EXHIBIT A