IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ERIC ZACK, JOSHUA TRICE, ALLISON HANCOCK and LLOYD RUSSELL WOLLERT, on behalf of himself and all other)))	
plaintiffs similarly situated,)	
Plaintiffs,)))	Case No.
v .)	
VILLAGE OF WINTHROP HARBOR,)))	
Defendant.	ý	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, ERIC ZACK, JOSHUA TRICE, ALLISON HANCOCK and LLOYD RUSSELL WOLLERT ("Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all class members, by and through their attorneys of The Miller Law Firm, P.C., bring their claims as a class action against the VILLAGE OF WINTHROP HARBOR ("Defendant") in accordance with Federal and Illinois state wage and hour laws, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE SUIT

1. This lawsuit arises under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. 201, *et seq.*, the Illinois Minimum Wage Law ("IMWL"), 820 JLCS § 105/1, *et seq.*, for Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs statutory minimum wages and overtime compensation for hours worked over fifty-three (53) in a work period pursuant to Section 7(k) of the FLSA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs FLSA claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because the facts and events giving rise to Plaintiffs claims occurred in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

4. Plaintiffs are current or former employees of the Defendant, working as

non-exempt firefighters at Defendant's fire station, located at 830 Sheridan Road, Winthrop Harbor, Illinois.

5. All Plaintiffs reside in and are domiciled in this judicial district.

6. Defendant, Village of Winthrop Harbor, is an Illinois municipality located in Lake County, Illinois.

7. At all relevant times, Defendant earned more than \$500,000.00 in annual gross revenue during.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

8. Plaintiffs are paid hourly and were assigned to work one of six different shifts during the course of a 7-day work period.

9. In addition to the assigned schedule, 5 firefighters are required to work overnight from 8:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. on every 6th day of the of the work period.

10. During the overnight shift from 8:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., Plaintiffs are not paid their hourly wage, but rather Defendant only pays Plaintiffs a Fifty Dollar (\$50) stipend for this nine hour period.

2

Case: 1:21-cv-04322 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/13/21 Page 3 of 7 PageID #:3

11. Defendant paid Plaintiffs below the federal and state wage rates when required to work from 8:00 to 5:00 a.m. on every 6th day of the day of the work period.

12. Additionally, Defendant did not compensate Plaintiffs at one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for hours worked in excess of fifty-three (53) in individual work periods when required to work from 8:00 to 5:00 a.m. on every 6^{th} day of the day of the work period.

COUNT I

Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act — Overtime Wages

13. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate paragraphs 1 through 12 as though stated herein.

14. Throughout their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs were an "employee" of the Defendant as defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

15. Plaintiffs were not exempt from the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207.

16. Throughout Plaintiffs employment, Defendant was an "employer" as defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

17. Defendant is an "enterprise" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (a)(1), and operated as an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, U.S.C. 29 § 203(s)(1)(a).

18. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, for all weeks during which Plaintiffs worked more than fifty-three (53) hours, Defendant was obligated to pay them at a rate of one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked over fifty-three (53) in a work period.

Case: 1:21-cv-04322 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/13/21 Page 4 of 7 PageID #:4

19. Defendant's failure and refusal to pay overtime wages for hours worked in excess of fifty-three (53) hours per work period was a violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 207.

20. Defendant's violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act by refusing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages was willful and not in good faith. Defendant at all times failed to pay overtime compensation even though Plaintiffs were scheduled to work and did work more than fifty-three (53) hours in a work period.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Judgment in the amount of unpaid overtime compensation found due at the rate of one and one-half Plaintiffs' regular hourly rate of pay for all hours which Plaintiffs worked in excess of fifty-three (53) hours per period;
- B. Liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime compensation found due;
- C. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in filing and prosecuting this action; and
- D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate and just.

COUNT II

Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act — Minimum Wages

21. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate paragraphs 1 through 12 as though stated herein.

22. Throughout their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs were an "employee" of the Defendant as defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

4

23. Plaintiffs were not exempt from the minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, 29U.S.C. § 206.

24. During the last three years before the filing of this suit, Defendant was an "employer" as defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

25. Defendant is an "enterprise" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (a)(1), and operated as an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, U.S.C. 29 § 203(s)(1)(a).

26. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206, Plaintiffs were entitled to be compensated according to the applicable minimum wage rate.

27. Defendant's violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act by refusing to pay Plaintiffs minimum wages was willful and not in good faith.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Judgment in the amount of unpaid minimum wages found due;

- B. Liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid wages found due;
- C. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in filing and prosecuting this action; and
- D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate and just.

COUNT III

Violation of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law — Minimum Wages

28. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate paragraphs 1 through 12 as though stated herein.

Case: 1:21-cv-04322 Document #: 1 Filed: 08/13/21 Page 6 of 7 PageID #:6

29. Throughout their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs were an "employee" under the IMWL, 820 ILCS § 105/3(d).

30. Plaintiffs were not exempt from the minimum wage provisions of the IMWL, 820 ILCS § 105/4.

31. During the last three years before the filing of this suit, Defendant was an "employer" as defined in the IMWL, 820 ILCS § 105/3(c).

32. Pursuant to 820 ILCS § 105/4, Plaintiffs were entitled to be compensated according to the applicable minimum wage rate under the IMWL.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Judgment in the amount of unpaid minimum wages found due;
- B. Statutory interest damages in the amount of two percent (2%) per month of the amount of the amount of underpayments;
- C. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in filing and prosecuting this action; and
- D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate and just.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 12, 2021

By: <u>s/Richard J. Miller</u>

Richard J. Miller. THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C. 1051 Perimeter Drive, Suite 400 Schaumburg, IL 60173 T: 847-995-1205 F: 847-995-1203 Attorney #6294472 richard.miller@millerlawfirm.org

One of the Attorneys For Plaintiffs

ILND 44 (Rev. 09/20) Case: 1:21-cv-04322 Document Coverage Coverag

purpose of minimum the errif do	one shoet. Bee and actions on	tem page of and form	·					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Eric Zack, Joshua Trice, Allison Hancock and Lloyd Russell Wollert, et al. DEFENDANTS Village of Winthrop Harbor								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. plaintiff cases)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. plaintiff cases only) Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.				
(c) Attorneys (firm name, ad	dress, and telephone number)			Attorneys (If Known)				
The Miller Law Firm				N/A				
1051 Perimeter Driv SchAUMDUNG	a Sta 400	847) 995-1	205	5				
III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (For Diversity Cases Only.)								
			ſ	(Check <u>one</u> box, only for plaintiff and <u>one</u> box for defendant.) PTF DEF PTF DEF PTF DEF				
L U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government not a party.)			Citizen of This State 1	Incorporated or Prince Business in This State	ipal Place of n a n a		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate citizenship of parties in Item III.)			Citizen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Prir of Business in Anoth			
				Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3	3 Foreign Nation	6 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Check one box, only.)								
CONTRACT			nmv	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 375 False Claims Act		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN	JUKY	Sentence	Act	376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	530 General		530 General	□ 720 Labor/Management	3729 (a))		
130 Miller Act	 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' 	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceuti Personal Inju	cal	535 Death Penalty	Relations	400 State Reapportionment		
_	Liability	Product Liab	ility	Habeas Corpus:	740 Railway Labor Act	410 Antitrust		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	368 Asbestos Per Injury Produ		540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment	350 Motor Vehicle	Liability		555 Prison Condition	790 Other Labor Litigation	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced		
151 Medicare Act	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	PERSONAL PROP	ERTY	560 Civil Detainee - Conditions	791 Employee Retirement	and Corrupt		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan	360 Other Personal Injury			of Confinement	Income Security Act	Organizations		
(Excludes Veterans)	362 Personal Injury - Medical	370 Other Fraud			DDODEDTY DICUTS	480 Consumer Credit		
□ ¹⁵³ Recovery of Veteran's Benefits	Malpractice	371 Truth in Len	ding		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyright	485 Telephone Consumer		
160 Stockholders' Suits		380 Other Persor			830 Patent	Protection Act (TCPA)		
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 		Property Da 385 Property Da Product Lia	amage		 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
190 Hatchise					880 Defend Trade Secrets	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Arts		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	BANKRUPTC	Y	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Act of 2016 (DTSA) SOCIAL SECURITY	893 Environmental Matters		
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights			625 Drug Related Seizure	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	■ 895 Freedom of Information		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	423 Withdrawal		of Property 21 USC 881	862 Black Lung (923)	Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	28 USC 15	7	□ 690 Other	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/Accommodations	IMMIGRATIC	N		864 SSID Title XVI	Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	445 Amer. w/ Disabilities- Employment	462 Naturalizatio			□ 865 RSI (405(g))	Agency Decision		
last 250 An Outer real Hoperty	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Application 463 Habeas Corp			FEDERAL TAXES	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Other 448 Education	Alien Detain			870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff			
	448 Education	(Prisoner Pe			or Defendant			
		465 Other Immig Actions	gration		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609			
V. ORIGIN (Check one box,	(only)	Actions			10 000 1005	1		
■1 Original □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated □ 5 Transferred □ 6 Multidistrict □ 8 Multidistrict								
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court or Reopened from Another Lingation - Lingation - District Transfer Direct File								
(specify)								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Enter U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause.) VII. PREVIOUS BANKRUPTCY MATTERS (For nature of suit 422 and 423, enter the case number and judge for any associated bankruptcy matter previously adjudicated by a judge of this Court. Use a separate attachment if necessary.)								
29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.								
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Check if this is a class action under Rule 2 F.R.CV.P.			23,	Demand \$ 500,000 CHECK Yes only if demanded in complaint: Jury Demand: Yes No				
	S) IF ANY (See instructions):	Judge			Case Number			
	smissed or remanded case	Contraction of the local data and the local data an		If yes, Case #	Name of Judge	······································		
August 12, 2021								
Date: Signature of Attorney of Record Iterational Content of Attorney of Record								