## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

RICHARD C. BYRD; WILLIE J. MASON, JR.; AENEAS L. PETTWAY,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Civil Action No.: 21-190
THE CITY OF SELMA,	) <u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
Defendant.	)

### **COMPLAINT**

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Richard C. Byrd, Willie J. Mason, Jr., and Aeneas L. Pettway, by and through their undersigned counsel, and hereby file the instant action against Defendant, The City of Selma, pursuant to <u>The Fair Labor Standards Act</u> of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 <u>et seq</u>. (hereinafter "FLSA"), and for their cause of action state as follows:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Richard C. Byrd, is over the age of nineteen (19) years and is a resident citizen of Perry County, Alabama.
- 2. Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., is over the age of nineteen (19) years and is a resident of Dallas County, Alabama.
- 3. Plaintiff, Aeneas L. Pettway, is over the age of nineteen (19) years and is a resident of Wilcox County, Alabama.

4. Defendant, The City of Selma, is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Alabama.

## **JURSIDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. Jurisdiction over this action is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 6. Venue in the Southern District of Alabama is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) as Defendant does business in this district, and as the conduct giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

# **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 7. This action is brought to recover unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiffs as required by 29 U.S.C. § 207.
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was Plaintiffs' "employer" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203(d).
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by §203(s)(1) of the FLSA.
- 10. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were Defendant's "employees" as defined by §203(e)(1) of the FLSA and worked for Defendant within the territory of the United States within three (3) years preceding the filing of this lawsuit.
- 11. At all times material to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by the City of Selma Fire Department as Assistant Fire Marshals/Fire Investigators.
- 12. Defendant is the official governmental authority responsible for the organization, provision, management and operation of fire protection and suppression

within its jurisdiction.

- 13. The services performed by Plaintiffs as Defendant's employees are a necessary and integral part of and are directly essential to Defendant's business.
- 14. Defendant is and has been aware of the requirements of the FLSA and its corresponding regulations. (See Exhibits A and B attached hereto) Despite said knowledge, Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay Plaintiffs mandatory overtime compensation in compliance with the FLSA since March 5, 2019.
- 15. Defendant has not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA.

  Instead, Defendant has intentionally failed and/or refused to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation in accordance with the provisions of the FLSA.
- 16. Defendant has engaged in a pattern and practice of failing to pay Plaintiffs in accordance with § 207 of the FLSA at the required rate of time and one-half for each hour worked over 40 hours worked in a week or 80 hours worked in a bi-weekly pay period and for all on-call hours.
- 17. Defendant has retaliated against Plaintiffs for claiming that Defendant owes them overtime compensation by denying them a raise in violation of § 215(a)(3) of the FLSA. On February 25, 2021, Defenant gave a 12.5% across-the-board raise to all firefighters and a 23% raise to the three (3) Battalion Chief positions. On March 23, 2021, Defendant gave a 23% raise to the Assistant Fire Chief. Upon information and belief, only the Plaintiffs and the Interim Fire Chief were not given raises in keeping with the other members of the City of Selma Fire Department as set forth above.
  - 18. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in the

form of substantial unpaid overtime compensation and substantial lost income from being denied a raise.

- 19. Plaintiffs seek and are entitled to all unpaid overtime compensation, including on-call hours, an equal amount of liquidated damages and/or pre-judgment interest, all income lost as a result of being retaliated against in the form of being denied a raise, a reasonable attorney fee, and the costs and expenses of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 20. Based upon Defendant's willful, intentional, and retaliatory conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to a three (3) year statute of limitations.
- 21. Since May of 2014, Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., has been denied compensation pursuant to Defendant's Education Incentive Program, which provides employees who obtain education degrees step pay increases above his/her regular pay step. (See Exhibits C and D attached hereto) Plaintiff Mason has obtained associate degrees in Applied Science Fire Science (May 2014), Science General Studies (May 2016), Arts General Studies (May 2016), Science General Education (July 2017) (See Exhibits E, F, G, and H attached hereto), a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration (July 2018) (See Exhibit I attached hereto), and Master of Science in Human Resource Management (December 2020) (See Exhibit J attached hereto), but he has not been compensated by Defendant pursuant to Defendant's Education Incentive Program.

## **COUNT ONE**

(29 U.S.C. § 207(a))

- 22. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if set forth fully herein.
- 23. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiffs at the statutory rate of time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week or 80 hours in a bi-weekly pay period or for all on-call hours, in direct violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a).
- 24. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered substantial damages in the form of unpaid overtime compensation.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a), Plaintiffs seek and are entitled to be compensated for all unpaid overtime compensation, including for all on-call hours, an equal amount of liquidated damages and/or pre-judgment interest, and a reasonable attorney fee, including the costs and expenses of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### **COUNT TWO**

(Retaliation)

- 26. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate paragraphs 1 through 25 above as if set forth fully herein.
- 27. Defendant has retaliated against Plaintiffs for claiming that Defendant owes them overtime compensation by denying them a raise.
  - 28. On February 25, 2021, Defendant gave a 12.5% across-the-board raise to

all firefighters and a 23% raise to the three (3) Battalion Chief positions. On March 23, 2021, Defendant gave a 23% raise to the Assistant Fire Chief. Upon information and belief, only the Plaintiffs and the Interim Fire Chief were not given a raise in keeping with the other members of the City of Selma Fire Department as set forth above. Defendant has refused to give Plaintiffs an explanation for them being denied a raise.

29. As a result of Defendant's retaliation against Plaintiffs as set forth above, Plaintiffs seek and are entitled to be compensated for all income lost as a result of being denied a raise, a reasonable attorney fee, and the costs and expenses of this action.

### **COUNT THREE**

(Violation of Education Incentive Program)

- 30. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate paragraphs 1 through 29 above as if set forth fully herein.
- 31. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., compensation pursuant to Defendant's Education Incentive Program.
- 32. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., has suffered substantial damages in the form of unpaid compensation.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's violation of its own Education Incentive Program, Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., seeks and is entitled to be compensated for all unpaid step pay increases above his regular pay for the statutory period.

**WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED**, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:

An award to all Plaintiffs of damages in the amount of all unpaid overtime 1.

compensation owed, including for all on-call hours, for the last three years, plus an

equal amount of liquidated damages and/or pre-judgment interest;

An award of all income Plaintiffs have lost as a result of being retaliated 2.

against by being denied a raise;

An award to Plaintiff, Willie J. Mason, Jr., for all unpaid educational 3.

incentive step pay increases for the statutory period, pursuant to Defendant's Education

Incentive Program;

An award of reasonable attorney fees, including the costs and expenses of 4.

this action; and

Such other legal and equitable relief to which they may be entitled. 5.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Plaintiffs demand a trial by struck jury on all issues related to this matter.

/s/ W. Lee Gresham, III

W. Lee Gresham, III ASB-9814-m76w

**Attorney for Plaintiff** 

OF COUNSEL:

Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC

2224 1st Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203

Telephone: 205-326-3336

Facsimile: 205-326-3332

7

The original Complaint will be served on Ivy Harrison, the City Clerk for Defendant, The City of Selma, by Special Process Server at the following address:

The City of Selma Ivy Harrison, City Clerk 222 Broad Street Selma, AL 36702