1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 1. Keld Copiolit, DC SBN 993761 (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) John W. Stewart, DC SBN 1028836 (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) WOODLEY & McGILLIVARY LLP 1101 Vermont Ave., N.W., Ste 1000 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 833-8855 (Telephone) (202) 452-1090 (Facsimile) taw@wmlaborlaw.com trc@wmlaborlaw.com CURRY, PEARSON WOOTEN, PLC Attorneys at Law 814 W. Roosevelt Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 258-1000 Fax (602) 523-9000 Michael W. Pearson, SBN 016281 mpearson@azlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 			
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
16				
17 18	Matthew A. Turner; Scott Strohmeyer; Aaron Seth Gregar; and Christopher Samples,	Case No:		
19 20 21	Plaintiffs, vs.	COMPLAINT IN COLLECTIVE ACTION		
21 22 23	City of Flagstaff, an Arizona municipal corporation,	(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)		
24 25 26 27 28	The plaintiffs are current or former employees of the defendant, City of Flagsta Arizona, and they bring this action on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated, a			

1	collective action in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act			
2	(FLSA), against the defendant, because of defendant's unlawful deprivation of plaintiffs'			
3	rights to overtime compensation. Plaintiffs seek compensation, damages, equitable and			
4	other relief available under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.			
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
6	1. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), 28 U.S.C. §			
7 8	1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Venue lies within this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§			
0 9	1391(b)(1) and (b)(2).			
10	<u>PARTIES</u>			
11	2. At all times material herein, each of the plaintiffs has been employed by the			
12	defendant City of Flagstaff, Arizona, at the Flagstaff Fire Department ("FFD"). Plaintiffs			
13	Matthew A. Turner, Scott Strohmeyer, Aaron Seth Gregar, and Christopher Samples have			
14	each given their written consent to be party plaintiffs in this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §			
15	216(b). Such consents are appended to this Complaint as Exhibit A. Plaintiffs bring this			
16	action as a collective action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated in			
17	accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).			
18	3. At all times material herein, Defendant City of Flagstaff was and still is a			
19	municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona,			
20 21	with its headquarters at 211 W. Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, Coconino County, State of			
22	Arizona. The City of Flagstaff is the county seat of Coconino County and operates the			
23	Flagstaff Fire Department, responsible for fire and rescue services in the Flagstaff area.			
24	4. Defendant is an "employer" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).			
25	2			

1	<u>FACTS</u>			
2	5. At all times material herein, plaintiffs have been entitled to the rights,			
3	protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.			
4	6. At all times material herein, plaintiffs have been entitled to overtime			
5	compensation at a rate of not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for			
6	the hours of overtime they have worked.			
7 8	7. At all times material herein, defendant set plaintiffs' work schedules.			
9	Although actual scheduled shift times varied for plaintiffs, at all times material herein.			
10	plaintiffs were scheduled to work 96 hours in each 12-day work period adopted pursuant to			
11	29 U.S.C. § 207(k). Plaintiffs also frequently worked additional, unscheduled overtime			
12	shifts.			
13	8. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, requires that the defendant pay plaintiffs at one-			
14	and-one-half their regular rate of pay for each hour worked beyond 91 hours in each 12-day			
15	work period. Because plaintiffs have been scheduled to work 96 hours each 12-day work			
16	period, they are scheduled to perform and do perform five hours of work beyond the 91-			
17	hour overtime threshold for a 12-day work period. Furthermore, any additional work			
18	performed outside their regularly-scheduled work is beyond the hourly levels specified in			
19	the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207. Therefore, plaintiffs are entitled to overtime pay at one-and-			
20 21	one-half times their regular rate of pay in the majority of work periods.			
21 22	9. At all times material herein, plaintiffs have been entitled to, and in fact have			
22	earned, premium payments in addition to their base wages, pursuant to City of Flagstaff and			
24	FFD policy. For example, plaintiffs who perform assignments which bear a heightened level			
25	3			

of physical risk above the norm of the job, or which require special skills or additional
certification and/or continuing education above and beyond the minimum requirements of
the position, earn premium payments, such as "Technical Rescue Team" premiums,
"Hazmat" premiums, "Arson" premiums, "SWAT" premiums, and "Tactical Medic"
premiums. These payments are non-discretionary, do not compensate plaintiffs for expenses
undertaken on the employer's behalf, and are part of the plaintiffs' total remuneration for
employment.

9
10. At all times material herein, when defendant suffers or permits plaintiffs to
perform overtime work, defendant fails to compensate plaintiffs at the full, legally
mandated one-and-one-half times the "regular rate" for that work by impermissibly
excluding certain remunerations from the overtime rate of pay, such as those listed above in
paragraph 10 or otherwise undervaluing the plaintiffs' regular rate of pay.

14 11. Defendant's payment method results, and has resulted in, under-payment for
15 overtime hours worked. Defendant suffered or permitted plaintiffs to perform overtime
16 work without proper compensation.

17

25

VIOLATION OF 207(a) OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

18 12. Section 207(a) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a), as well as the regulations of
19 the U.S. Department of Labor, 29 CFR Part 778, *et seq.*, require that all forms of
20 remuneration be included in the rate at which FLSA overtime is paid, with some limited
21 exceptions. Defendant has failed to include all remuneration/premium payments that are
23 made in addition to employees' regular pay in the regular rates of pay at which overtime
24 pay is calculated for the plaintiffs as required under the law.

4

1 13. Defendant's failure to include premium payments/all qualifying remuneration 2 in plaintiffs' regular rates of pay violates section 207(a) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a); 3 29 C.F.R. § 778.207(b) (non-overtime premiums must be included in the regular rate at 4 which overtime is paid). The failure to include these premium payments in plaintiffs' 5 regular rates means that when plaintiffs are paid for overtime for working over the 6 applicable hourly threshold (91 hours for a 12-day work period), they are paid at a rate that 7 is below the rate mandated by the FLSA.

8

14. Further, the FLSA mandates that overtime compensation be paid on the 9 regular pay day for the period in which such workweek ends. Overtime payments under the 10 FLSA may not be delayed except as reasonably necessary to compute the amount owned 11 and in no event shall such payments be delayed beyond the next payday after such 12 computation can be made. 29 C.F.R. § 778.106. Defendant has violated these basic 13 14 principles by delaying plaintiffs' overtime payments for working in excess of the applicable 15 hourly threshold in a given work period by weeks, months, and in some cases years after the 16 overtime hours have been worked.

17 15. Defendant's failure to pay plaintiffs FLSA overtime pay in a timely manner 18 and its withholding of such overtime payments violates section 207(a) of the FLSA. 29 19 U.S.C. § 207(a); 29 C.F.R. § 778.106.

20

25

As a result of the defendant's willful and purposeful violations of the FLSA, 16. 21 there have become due and owing to the plaintiffs an amount that has not yet been precisely 22 determined. The employment and work and pay records for the plaintiffs are in the 23 exclusive possession, custody and control of defendant, or defendant's agents, and the 24

5

1	plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amount owing to them, but from these			
2	payroll records, plaintiffs will be able to ascertain the precise extent of these violations of			
3	section 207(a) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a). Defendant is under a duty imposed under			
4	the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 211(c), and various other statutory and regulatory provisions to			
5	maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records with respect to the plaintiffs			
6	and other employees similarly situated from which the amount of defendant's liability can			
7 8	be ascertained.			
0 9	17. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), plaintiffs are entitled to recover liquidated			
10	damages in an amount equal to their back pay damages for the defendant's failure to pay			
11	avertime comparison			
12	18. The failure by defendant to properly pay compensation owed to each plaintiff			
13	is a knowing, willful and reckless violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207 within the meaning of 29			
14	U.S.C. § 255(a).			
15	19. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under 29 U.S.C. §			
16	216(b).			
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
18	Wherefore, the plaintiffs pray that this Court grant relief against the defendant as			
19 20	follows:			
20	(a) Enter a declaratory judgment declaring that the defendant have willfully and			
22	wrongfully violated their statutory and legal obligations and deprived plaintiffs and all			
23	others who are similarly situated of their rights, privileges, protections, compensation,			
24	benefits, and entitlements under the law, as alleged herein;			
25	6			

1	(b) Order a complete and accurate accounting of all the compensation to which				
2	the plaintiffs and all others who are similarly situated are entitled;				
3	(c) Award plaintiffs and all others who are similarly situated monetary damages				
4	in the form of back pay compensation and benefits; unpaid entitlements; liquidated damages				
5	under federal law equal to their unpaid compensation; plus pre-judgment and post-judgment				
6 7	interest;				
8	(d) Award plaintiffs and all others who are similarly situated their reasonable				
9	attorneys' fees to be paid by the defendant, and the costs and disbursements of this action;				
10	and				
11	(e) Grant such other legal and equitable relief as may be just and proper.				
12	JURY TRIAL DEMAND				
13	Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial in this action.				
14	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 20 th day of September, 2018.				
15	CURRY, PEARSON & WOOTEN, PLC				
16 17					
17	<u>/s/ Michael W. Pearson</u> Michael W. Pearson				
19	814 W. Roosevelt St. Phoenix, AZ 85007				
20	John W. Stewart (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) WOODLEY & McGILLIVARY LLP				
21	1101 Vermont Ave., N.W., Ste 1000 Washington, DC 20005 Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
22					
23					
24					
25	7				

EXHIBIT A

I hereby consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit under the Fair Labor Standards Act concerning my employment with the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, to recover unpaid overtime compensation and other relief.

MATTHEW A. TURNER Print Name Clearly

_____ 0 1yr Signature

I hereby consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit under the Fair Labor Standards Act concerning my employment with the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, to recover unpaid overtime compensation and other relief.

Scott Strohmeyer Print Name Clearly Signatu

I hereby consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit under the Fair Labor Standards Act concerning my employment with the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, to recover unpaid overtime compensation and other relief.

Print Name Clearly

_ Signature

I hereby consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit under the Fair Labor Standards Act concerning my employment with the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, to recover unpaid overtime compensation and other relief.

<u>Christopher Samples</u> Print Name Clearly <u>Jolenny</u> Signature

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use <u>only</u> in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Matthew A. Turne Plaintiff(s): ; Aaron Seth Greg Samples	er ; Scott Strohmeyer gar ; Christopher	Defendant (s): City of Flagstaff		
County of Residence: Coconino		County of Residence: Coconino		
County Where Claim For Relief	Arose: Coconino			
Plaintiff's Atty(s):		Defendant's Atty(s):		
Michael Pearson (Matthew A. Turner ; Scott Strohmeyer ; Aaron Seth Gregar ; Christopher Samples) Curry, Pearson & Wooten, PC 814 W. Roosevelt St Phoenix, Arizona 85007 6022581000				
II. Basis of Jurisdiction:	3. Federal Question	(U.S. not a party)		
III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only) Plaintiff:- N/A				
Defendant:				
<u>IV. Origin</u> :	1. Original Proceedin	ıg		
V. Nature of Suit:	710 Fair Labor Stan	dards Act		
VI.Cause of Action:29 U.S.C. 216: ThisFair Labor Standar		s a case for unpaid compensation pursuant to the ls Act.		
VII. Requested in Complaint				
Class Action: No Dollar Demand: Unable to Calculate at this Time				
Jury Demand: Yes				

Signature: Michael Pearson

Date: <u>9/20/2018</u>

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014