# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Fort Myers Division

Case No.

CHRISTIAN TOBIN, MATTHEW TRENT, JEFF DAVENPORT, ANDY KRAJEWSKI, COREY NELSON, FORREST CAMPBELL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREATER NAPLES FIRE RESCUE DISTRICT,

Defendant.

### COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs bring this cause of action against their employer and Defendant the GREATER NAPLES FIRE RESCUE DISTRICT to secure overtime wages owed them pursuant to the federal Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.* ("FLSA").

Parties

1. Plaintiffs CHRISTIAN TOBIN, MATTHEW TRENT, JEFF DAVENPORT, ANDY KRAJEWSKI, COREY NELSON, FORREST CAMPBELL are residents of Florida, and work for Defendant GREATER NAPLES FIRE RESCUE DISTRICT (the "District") as Battalion Chiefs in Collier County, Florida.

Plaintiffs are each an "employee" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §
203(e)(1).

3. Plaintiffs bring this action for a declaratory judgment, back pay, liquidated damages and other relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §207, 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and 28 U.S.C. §1331, to remedy

the Defendant's willful and unlawful violations of federal law.

4. Plaintiffs are identified in the caption of the Complaint and have given their consent to be party plaintiffs in this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Written consents will be filed shortly after the filing of this Complaint.

5. Each of the Plaintiffs while employed by the District have been "fire protection employees" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(y).

6. The District is a Florida special taxing district that provides fire suppression and rescue services in Collier County, Florida.

7. The Fire District is an "employer" as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and is headquartered at 14575 Collier Blvd, Naples, FL 34119.

## Jurisdiction and Venue

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §
216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

9. This Court is the appropriate venue, as the events underlying this action occurred in Collier County, Florida.

# Statement of Facts

10. The Plaintiffs are part of the core group of firefighters who are required to respond to a typical call.

11. Plaintiffs routinely work more than 53 hours per week and 212 hours in a 28-day period.

12. The District has fifteen (15) fire stations.

13. Each station is staffed similarly, with three 24-hour long shifts to ensure continuous24-hour coverage 365 days a year. The shifts are identified as A shift, B shift, and C shift.

14. The District assigns each Battalion Chief Plaintiff to a particular shift and station.

15. Defendant has in its custody and control the records showing the shift and station assignments of each Plaintiff during the relevant time period.

#### Statement of Facts Related to Job Duties

16. Within the last three years, and continuing to date, while working on behalf of the District, the Plaintiffs' primary job duty has been, and remains, to protect and serve the public by engaging in fire suppression and related activities.

17. Plaintiffs' principal duties are the direct, on-site suppression of fires.

18. Plaintiffs' primary job duty is emergency response.

19. When an emergency call comes in, it takes priority.

20. The Plaintiffs do not have discretion to decline to respond.

21. The Plaintiffs are required to respond to all fire calls within the geographic boundaries of the Fire District.

22. While on the scene of fire calls, the Plaintiffs, along-side their crew and facing the same hazards and danger, engage in the control, suppression, and extinguishment of fires and the rescue of fire or accident victims.

23. The Plaintiffs are part of the Fire District's emergency response analog of units automatically dispatched to certain calls where they go into a fire scene to determine whether there are any safety issues that might be present *(i.e.,* endangered or trapped occupants, hazardous materials/substances, property exposures, gas levels, the structural integrity of the fire scene, etc.), to ensure the safety and security of the employees engaging in fire suppression activities.

24. The Plaintiffs undergo training to hone front line firefighting duties, which is the same training as all other firefighters so that they are able to fulfill their first responder obligations

and assure a constant state of preparedness training.

25. Plaintiffs despite their managerial title, spend more only about two (2) hours per month of their time on the job on manual or ministerial tasks such as data entry, inventory, delivering, ordering, completing assigned tasks, and other related functions which involve no exercise of independent discretion or meaningful authority over any Fire District employees. Further, some of these tasks are assigned to and performed by non-exempt employees.

26. The Plaintiffs are not high-level fire officials contemplated as exempt as they lack the discretion to determine whether and where their assistance is needed.

27. Whatever the precise importance of the Plaintiffs' non-firefighting duties; e.g. filling out evaluations, department reports, and/or station policies, fighting fires and emergency response is the more important part of their jobs.

28. Plaintiffs, like non-exempt employees of for the District, work continuous, rotating, 24 hour shifts, 7 days per week, with 48 hours of leave between shifts; in contrast to the 8 hour per day, Monday through Friday shifts that the administrative and executive personnel work in the administrative offices.

29. The Plaintiffs are assigned to work, and in fact do work, a regular and recurrent schedule of 24 hours on-duty, followed by 48 hours off-duty, which results in their working, on average 56 hours per week, and either 216 hours or 240 hours every 28 days.

30. The Plaintiffs are therefore regularly assigned to work, and do work, in excess of53 hours per week and 212 hours in a 28-day period.

Statement of Facts Related to the District's Pay Practices that Violate the FLSA

31. When the Plaintiffs work hours in excess of 53 hours per week and 212 hours in a28-day period, the Plaintiffs receive only straight time pay for their overtime hours.

4

32. At all relevant times, and ongoing, the straight time rate of pay, as opposed to time and one-half their regular rate of pay, as opposed to time and one-half their regular rate of pay for any and all hours worked in excess of 53 hours per week and 212 hours in a 28-day period,

33. Plaintiffs estimate that their unpaid overtime for the duration of their employment over the last three (3) years equals \$20,000.00 per year per Plaintiff.

34. This estimate is based on hours worked on a weekly basis, divided by the total number of hours worked in each week, and then multiplying that figure by one half for each hour of overtime worked in that week (i.e., the unpaid half of the time and a half owed for each hour worked in excess of fifty-three).

The District has treated Plaintiffs as salaried employees.

36. The Plaintiffs' paychecks do not vary from week to week on account of hours worked unless there is a deduction made by the District that amounts to a suspension of less than one week without pay.

37. Plaintiffs, while salaried, do not perform duties that would exempt them from the overtime provisions of the FLSA. Each of the Plaintiffs should have received overtime wages for hours that they worked in excess of fifty-three in a work week.

38. Defendant has refused to pay overtime wages to Plaintiffs, contending that Plaintiffs are exempt Administrative and/or Executive employees under Section 13(a) of the Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C.§213(a)(1). Defendant's contention is without merit.

39. Plaintiffs are not paid on a salary basis, and the duties that Plaintiffs perform do not qualify as administrative or executive under the Act.

## Count 1 - Failure to Pay Overtime

40. By failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages at the rate of one and one-half times

their base hourly wage rate for hours that they have worked in excess of 53 hours per week and 212 hours in a 28-day period, the District has violated the FLSA.

#### Count II - Retaliation

41. On or about August 9, Plaintiff Christian Tobin, through his attorneys, provided a copy of a draft complaint alleging, in writing, that he was owed unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA.

42. On August 23, Tobin received a suspension.

43. The motivating factor in Tobin's suspension was the exercise of his rights under the FLSA. He would not have been suspended but for his allegations, in violation of the antiretaliation protections at 29 U.S.C. section 215 (a)(3).

44. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment against defendant for back wages for the suspension and an equal amount of back wages as liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs; reinstatement or promotion; or other injunctive relief prohibiting defendant from discriminating in this manner; awarding damages for emotional distress, humiliation, and pain and suffering, front wages, as well as other damages recoverable by law under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE the Plaintiffs request a trial by jury and a final order from the Court finding the District to have violated the FLSA with respect to its failure to pay overtime to them, directing the District to pay them their overtime properly, awarding them an amount equal to their unpaid overtime as liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

This 29th day of August, 2018.

SUGARMAN & SUSSKIND, P.A.

D. Marcus Braswell, Jr., Esq., Trial Counsel Florida Bar Number 0146160 Sugarman and Susskind, P.A. 100 Miracle Mile, Suite 300 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Tel.: (305) 529-2801 Fax: (305) 447-8115 Email: mbraswell@sugarmansusskind.com JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) **CIVIL COVER SHEET** The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS CHRISTIAN TOBIN, MATTHEW TRENT, JEFF DAVENPORT, GREATER NAPLES FIRE RESCUE DISTRICT ANDY KRAJEWSKI, COREY NELSON, FORREST CAMPBELL (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Collier County, FL County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF NOTE: THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) D. Marcus Braswell, Jr., Esg. | Sugarman & Susskind, P.A. 100 Miracle Mile, Suite 300 | Coral Gables, FL 33134 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) ₿ 3 Federal Ouestion U.S. Government PTF DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State **D** 1 **D** 4 **D** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State D 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State 02 05 05 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a **D** 3 3 Foreign Nation 06 06 Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions FORFEITURE/PENALTY CONTRACT BANKRUPTCY TORTS **OTHER STATUTES** 🗇 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY G 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 D 375 False Claims Act 120 Marine 310 Airplane C 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 □ 423 Withdrawal 🗇 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 0 690 Other D 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product **Product Liability** 28 USC 157 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability □ 367 Health Care/ □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 🗇 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights 430 Banks and Banking 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability D 830 Patent D 450 Commerce 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 835 Patent - Abbreviated □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans 340 Marine New Drug Application **Injury Product** □ 345 Marine Product Liability (Excludes Veterans) 🗖 840 Trademark **Corrupt Organizations** □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment 🗇 480 Consumer Credit PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR Liability SOCIAL SECURITY 370 Other Fraud of Veteran's Benefits □ 350 Motor Vehicle 710 Fair Labor Standards □ 490 Cable/Sat TV **861 HIA (1395ff)** 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending □ 862 Black Lung (923) Act □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ **Product Liability** □ 720 Labor/Management □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 190 Other Contract 380 Other Personal Exchange D 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations □ 864 SSID Title XVI Section Statutory Actions D 196 Franchise Injury D 385 Property Damage 🗇 740 Railway Labor Act □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 893 Environmental Matters D 751 Family and Medical Medical Malpractice Leave Act 895 Freedom of Information REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS **PRISONER PETITIONS** 790 Other Labor Litigation FEDERAL TAX SUITS Act D 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 791 Employee Retirement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Arbitration □ 441 Voting D 220 Foreclosure 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act or Defendant) 399 Administrative Procedure D 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment □ 510 Motions to Vacate STI IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 🗇 240 Torts to Land □ 443 Housing/ Sentence 26 USC 7609 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 530 General 950 Constitutionality of D 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty IMMIGRATION State Statutes 11 Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application 60 446 Amer. w/Disabilities σ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 465 Other Immigration 1 Other 550 Civil Rights Actions and a □ 448 Education D 555 Prison Condition D 560 Civil Detainee -U Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 8 Multidistrict 1 1 Original □ 2 Removed from Remanded from 04 Reinstated or 05 Multidistrict Transferred from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Litigation -Litigation -Another District Transfer **Direct File** (specify, Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. 201, et. seq. **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: Suit for payment of willfully, wrongfully withheld overtime wages. VII. REQUESTED IN **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: O Yes DNo VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions)

IF ANY	(dec man	JUDGE	D0	CKET NUMBER	
DATE 08/03/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF	D. Marcus Bra	<sup>D</sup> D. Marcus Braswell, Jr FBN: 146160	
FOR OFFICE USE OF	NLY	p.			
<b>RECEIPT</b> #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	